

Exhibit 5

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X :
: Case no. 1:08-CV-4906 (AKH)
IN RE NEXCEN BRANDS, INC. SECURITIES :
LITIGATION :
: CLASS ACTION
This document relates to: all actions :
:
:
-----X :

**DECLARATION OF LAURENCE M. ROSEN ON BEHALF OF THE ROSEN LAW
FIRM, P.A. CONCERNING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES**

I, Laurence M. Rosen, declare and state, under penalty of perjury, that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief:

1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in New York, New Jersey, California and Florida. I am duly admitted to practice before this Court.

2. I am the managing shareholder of The Rosen Law Firm, P.A., additional counsel for Lead Plaintiff and the Class in this litigation (the "Action"). I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein and, if called upon, I could and would completely testify thereto. A copy of my firm's resume is attached hereto.

3. The Rosen Law Firm, P.A. has been involved in this Action since May 2008, and continuing throughout under the direction of the Lead Plaintiff's counsel.

4. My firm rendered the following legal services in connection with the prosecution of this Action: conducted case investigation and assessment of the factual and legal bases of the action; prepared an filed an initial complaint; prepared the motion for appointment of lead plaintiff and lead counsel; assisted Lead Counsel in researching and preparing the consolidated amended complaint; consulted with a forensic accountant; participated in settlement negotiations; research, assisted in drafting substantive motions, including assisting in opposing Defendants' motions to dismiss; assisted in negotiating and preparing certain settlement documents; engaged in confirmatory discovery; and assisted in preparing motions and briefs in support of approval of the settlement

5. The chart below is a summary of time expended by the attorneys and professional staff of the Rosen Law Firm, P.A. on this Action up through September 30, 2011, and the lodestar calculation based on their current billing rate. The chart was prepared from contemporaneous, daily time records regularly prepared and maintained by my firm.

<u>Professional (position)*</u>	<u>Hourly Rate</u>	<u>Hours Worked</u>	<u>Total Lodestar</u>
Laurence M. Rosen (P)	\$750	95.60	\$71,700.00
Phillip Kim (A)	\$550	259.70	\$142,835.00
Timothy Brown (A)	\$450	29.20	\$13,140.00
Jonathan Horne (A)	\$375	4.3	\$1,612.50
Christopher Hinton (OC)	\$550	34	\$18,700.00
Erica Stone (PL)	\$180	10.4	\$1,872.00
Nathan Huddell (PL)	\$180	43.65	\$7,857.00
Leonid Prilutskiy (PL)	\$200	37.14	\$7,428.00
Ross Eglow (IT)	\$125	172.00	\$21,500.00
TOTALS:		<u>685.99</u>	<u>\$286,644.50</u>

* Partner (P), Associate (A), Of Counsel (OC), Paralegal (PL). Intern (IT)

6. From the inception of this Action through September 30, 2011, my firm performed a total of 685.99 professional work hours in the prosecution of this Action. The total lodestar amount for my firm is \$286,644.50.

7. The Rosen Law Firm expended a total of \$12,424.93 in un-reimbursed expenses in connection with the prosecution of this Action broken down as follows:

Category	Amount
Online Legal and News Research, & Document Retrieval	\$2,436.27
Accounting-GAAP Consultant/Expert	\$5,850.00
Document conversion and database creation	\$1,975.00
Filing Fees and Service of Process for complaint and Subpoenas	\$350.00
FedEx, Postage and messenger	\$232.11
Press Releases	\$1,395.00
Photocopies, scanning and faxes	\$186.55
Total for Rosen Law Firm	\$12,424.93

8. The expenses set forth above are reflected in the firm's books and records. These books and records are prepared from expense vouchers, check records, and financial statements prepared in the normal course of business for my firm and are an accurate record of the expenses incurred in the prosecution of this Action.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 28th day of October at New York, NY.

DATED: October 28, 2011


 Laurence Rosen

THE ROSEN LAW FIRM P.A. BIOGRAPHY

I. ATTORNEYS

LAURENCE ROSEN - MANAGING ATTORNEY

Laurence Rosen is a 1988 graduate of New York University School of Law. He earned an M.B.A. in finance and accounting at the University of Chicago Graduate School of Business and a B.A. in Economics from Emory University. Mr. Rosen served as a law clerk to the Honorable Stanley S. Brotman, Senior United States District Judge for the District of New Jersey. Mr. Rosen entered private practice as an associate at the law firm of Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom in New York City where he participated in a number of complex securities class action and derivative litigation matters. He later served as an associate at McCarter & English in Newark, New Jersey where he specialized in securities and business litigation.

After practicing general securities and commercial litigation in New York City with Solton Rosen & Balakhovsky LLP, Mr. Rosen founded The Rosen Law Firm to represent investors exclusively in securities class actions and derivative litigation. Mr. Rosen is admitted to practice law in New York, California, Florida, New Jersey and the District of Columbia. Mr. Rosen is also admitted to practice before numerous United States District Courts throughout the country and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second, Fourth, and Sixth Circuits.

PHILLIP KIM – ATTORNEY

Mr. Kim graduated from Villanova University School of Law in 2002. He received a B.A. in Economics from The Johns Hopkins University in Baltimore, Maryland in 1999. Prior to joining The Rosen Law Firm, Mr. Kim served as Assistant Corporation Counsel for the City of New York in the Special Federal Litigation Division. In that position, Mr. Kim defended a

number of class action lawsuits, litigated numerous individual actions, and participated in more than seven trials. Mr. Kim focuses his practice on securities class actions and shareholder derivative litigation. Mr. Kim is admitted to the bar of the State of New York and admitted to practice in the United States District Courts for the Southern District of New York, the Eastern District of New York and the District of Colorado, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

TIMOTHY W. BROWN – ATTORNEY

In 2004 Mr. Brown graduated from the University of Chicago School of Law at which he was a recipient of a merit scholarship. Mr. Brown received his B.A. in Business Economics, *magna cum laude*, from Brown University in 2001. Mr. Brown specializes in securities class actions and shareholder derivative litigation. Mr. Brown is admitted to the bar of the State of New York and admitted to practice in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. Mr. Brown was previously employed by UBS, AG.

JONATHAN HORNE- ATTORNEY

Mr. Horne is a 2009 graduate of New York University School of Law, where he received the Lederman/Milbank Law, Economics, and Business fellowship, and holds a B.A. in Economics & Philosophy from the University of Toronto. Mr. Horne began his practice at Kaye Scholer Fierman Hays & Handler LLP, where he participated in two federal trials. Mr. Horne specializes in securities litigation. He is admitted to practice in New York and numerous federal district courts.

YUXIA ZHANG- ATTORNEY

Ms. Zhang graduated from Columbia University School of Law in 2009 with Master of Laws (L.L.M) degree. She received a Bachelor of Law degree from Shanghai Maritime

University School of Law, *Cum Laude*, in 2003. Ms. Zhang began her U.S. practice at Dai & Associates, P.C., where she participated in representing China-related clients in various commercial litigations, both in state and federal courts. She also has extensive experience in real estate transactions, investment immigration and maritime law. Prior to her U.S. practice, Ms. Zhang was an in-house counsel at a large state-owned corporation in China. Ms. Zhang specializes in securities litigation. She is admitted to practice in New York.

CHRISTOPHER S. HINTON – OF COUNSEL

Mr. Hinton is admitted to the bars of the State of New York, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, and the United States District Court for the District of Nebraska. He received a B.A. degree in Economics and Political Science in 1997, *magna cum laude*, from Marquette University, where he was elected to Phi Beta Kappa, and received a J.D. degree, *cum laude*, from University of Illinois College of Law at Champaign in 2002. His primary area of practice is securities and ERISA class action litigation. He co-authored Foreign Investors Serving as Lead Plaintiffs in U.S.- Based Securities Cases, International Practice Section Newsletter (Association of Trial Lawyers of America, Washington, D.C.), Winter 2004 and Spring 2005. Mr. Hinton has been a member of the plaintiffs' bar since 2003 and has focused on class action litigation.

II. RECENT ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF THE ROSEN LAW FIRM PA

In re Textainer Financial Servs. Corp., No. CGC 05-440303. The Rosen Law Firm was Co-Lead Counsel in this class action in the California Superior Court, San Francisco County alleging breach of fiduciary duty in connection with the sale of the assets of six related publicly traded limited partnerships. After winning the first phase of a multi-phase bench trial, Plaintiffs obtained a \$10 million cash settlement for class members.

Friedman v. Quest Energy Partners LP, et al., Case No. CIV-08-936-M. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel on behalf of purchasers of Quest Resource Corporation's securities in this consolidated class action filed in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements in connection with the Company's former CEO and CFO misappropriating nearly \$10 million. All classes and parties to this litigation settled this action for \$10.1 million in cash.

In re Nature's Sunshine Products, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. 2:06-cv-00267-TS-SA. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Class Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Utah. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's materially false and misleading statements concerning its financial statements and business practices. Following the certification of the class and extensive discovery, Plaintiffs agreed to settle this case for \$6 million in cash.

In re Entropin, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. CV 04-6180-RC. The Rosen Law Firm was counsel to Plaintiff in this securities class action in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, and Lead Counsel in the related class action brought in California state court against Entropin, Inc., a defunct pharmaceutical company. These actions alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act and violations various state securities laws arising out of allegedly false and misleading statements about the Company's lead drug candidate Esterom, respectively. On the eve of trial, Defendants agreed to settle these cases for a \$4.5 million cash payment to class members.

In re StockerYale, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. 1:05-cv-00177. The Rosen Law Firm served as sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Hampshire. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 10b, 20(a) and 20A of

the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the issuance of allegedly false and misleading press releases regarding certain contracts the Company claimed to have signed. Plaintiffs settled this class action for \$3.4 million cash payment to class members.

Mallozzi v. Industrial Enterprises of America, Inc., Case No. 07-CV-10321 (GBD). The Rosen Law Firm was Co-Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements of revenues and earnings. During the pendency of the Company's bankruptcy, the parties settled this class action for \$3.4 million in cash.

Meruelo Capital Partners 2, LLC et al. v. Wedbush Morgan Securities, Inc., Case no. BC 352498. The Rosen Law Firm was co-counsel to plaintiffs in this action brought in California Superior Court, Los Angeles County for violations of the California State securities laws against the securities issuer and broker-dealer in connection with the sale of \$2.5 million worth of securities. On the eve of trial, plaintiffs settled the claims against the issuer for a cash payment of \$1 million. Following an eight day jury trial, Plaintiffs obtained a jury verdict in their favor and against the underwriter for over \$2.2 million (which included prejudgment interest). In sum, plaintiffs recovered over \$3.2 million, which represented 100% of plaintiffs' principal investment of \$2.5 million and over \$700,000 in prejudgment interest.

In re Skilled Healthcare Group, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. 2:09-CV-5416-DOC (RZx). The Rosen Law Firm was Co-Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleged violations of the §§ 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements of revenue and earnings. Plaintiffs settled this action for \$3 million in cash.

Madden v. Pegasus Communications Corp, Case No. 2:05-cv-0568. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The action alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the issuance of allegedly false and misleading statements concerning the Company's direct broadcast satellite agreement with DirecTV and the Company's reported subscriber growth and totals. Plaintiffs settled this action for a \$2.95 million cash payment to class members.

In re TVIA, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. C-06-06403-RMW. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 10b, 20(a), 20A of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements by virtue of the Company improper recognition of revenues in violation of GAAP. Plaintiffs settled this action for a \$2.85 million cash payment to class members.

Zagami v. Natural Health Trends Corp., et al., Case No. 3:06-CV-1654-D. The Rosen Law Firm served as sole Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas. The complaint alleged violations of § 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements in violation of GAAP. Plaintiffs settled this case for \$2.75 million cash payment to class members.

In re Robert T. Harvey Securities Litigation, Case No. SA CV-04-0876 DOC (PJWx). The Rosen Law Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California and the related California state court class actions. This action alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the

sale of partnership interests that corresponded to the securities of Chaparral Network Storage and AirPrime, Inc., n/k/a Sierra Wireless, Inc. Plaintiffs settled this and the related state court actions for an aggregate \$2.485 million cash payment to class members.

In re Fuwei Films Securities Litigation, Case no. 07-CV-9416 (RJS). The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 in connection with material misrepresentations in the Company's Registration Statement and Prospectus in connection with the Company's \$35 million IPO. The parties settled this action for \$2.15 million cash payment to class members.

Burritt v. Nutracea, Inc., Case No. CV-09-00406-PHX-FJM. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Arizona. This action alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Arizona securities laws in connection with the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements of earnings and revenues. During the pendency of the Company's bankruptcy, Plaintiffs settled this action for \$1.5 million in cash and a remainder interest of 50% of the issuer's directors' and officers' liability insurance policy.

Press v. Delstaff LLC, No. MSC 09-01051. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class action in the California Superior Court for Contra Costa County, brought in connection with a "going private" transaction valued at \$1.25/share for the 6.4 million shares implicated in the transaction. The parties settled this action for \$1,642,500 in additional compensation to shareholders.

In re Northfield Laboratories, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. 06 C 1493. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. The complaint alleges violations of §§

10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's materially false and misleading statements concerning its PolyHeme blood substitute product and business prospects. Following extensive class discovery and litigation activity in bankruptcy court, the parties agreed to settle this action for \$1.5 million in cash, pending court approval.

In re PartsBase.com, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. 01-8319. The Rosen Law Firm was Co-Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida. The action arose from a \$45.5 million initial public offering of common stock by the defendant issuer and a syndicate of underwriters including Roth Capital Partners and PMG Capital Corp. Plaintiffs settled this action for \$1.5 million cash settlement for class members.

In re Empyrean Bioscience Securities Litigation, Case No. 1:02CV1439. This class action in which the Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The action alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act based on misrepresentations in defendants' SEC filings and press releases concerning the clinical testing of the Company's GEDA Plus microbicide gel. After the court denied defendants' motion to dismiss the complaint, the parties briefed the issue of whether the securities were traded in an efficient market. Prior to a decision on market efficiency, Plaintiffs settled the case for a \$1.4 million payment to class members.

In re Himax Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. C 07-4891-DDP. The Rosen Law Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California, Western Division. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 11 and 15 of the Securities Act arising out of the Company's IPO. Plaintiffs agreed to settle this case for \$1.2 million cash payment to class members.

In re Flight Safety Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:04-cv-1175. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District

Court for the District of Connecticut. The action alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the defendants alleged failure to disclose material adverse information concerning the Company's products under development and misrepresenting the amount of time it would take to commercialize the products. Plaintiffs settled the case for a \$1.2 million cash payment to class members.

In re: M.H. Meyerson & Co. Securities Litigation, Case No. 02-CV-2724. This class action, in which the Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel, was filed in U.S. District Court for District of New Jersey. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act based on allegedly false and misleading SEC filings related to the planned launch of an online brokerage business, and other material misrepresentations, which allegedly inflated the price of Meyerson stock during the class period. Plaintiffs settled the case for a \$1.2 million payment to class members.

In re OPUS360 Corp. Securities Litigation, Case No. 01-Civ-2938. The Rosen Law Firm was Co-Lead Counsel for this action brought in the Southern District of New York alleging violations of the federal securities laws arising from a \$75.0 million initial public offering of common stock by the defendant issuer and a syndicate of underwriters including JP Morgan and Robertson Stephens, Inc. The Court certified the action as a class action and approved a final settlement.

Fouladian v. Busybox.com, Inc., Case No. BC 248048. The Rosen Law Firm was Co-Lead Counsel in this class action brought in California Superior Court, Los Angeles County. The action arose from a \$12.8 million initial public offering of securities by the defendant issuer and underwriter. California and federal securities laws claims (Cal. Corp. Code §25401 and §11 of 1933 Act) were brought on behalf of a nationwide class of public offering investors. The Court approved a \$1.0 million cash settlement to a nationwide class of investors.

Gianoukas v. Tullio and Riiska, Case No. 02CC18223. The Rosen Law Firm was lead counsel to a group of twenty-one plaintiffs that brought claims of fraud and negligent misrepresentation in California Superior Court, Orange County against the former Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers of a publicly traded software company, NQL Inc. The complaint alleged that the officers issued a series of false and misleading press releases concerning the business of NQL for the purpose of inducing the purchase and retention of NQL securities. Plaintiffs settled the action favorably for a confidential amount.

The BoxLot Company v. InfoSpace, Inc., Case No. GIC 779231. The Rosen Law Firm was plaintiff's counsel for this action filed in California Superior Court, San Diego County which arose from the aborted merger agreement and ultimate sale of The BoxLot Company's assets to InfoSpace. The action alleged violations of California securities laws (Cal. Corp. Code §25400 & §25401) and common laws and sought damages of \$92.8 million from InfoSpace and its CEO, Naveen Jain. The case settled favorably for plaintiffs for a confidential amount.

Huttenstine v. Mast, Case No. 4:05-cv-152 F(3). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's material misstatements and omissions concerning the nature of certain sales contracts it had entered into. Plaintiffs have preliminarily agreed to settle this action this action for \$425,000 cash payment to class members.

Kinzinger v. Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc., Case No. 03-0922608. The Rosen Law Firm served as sole Lead Counsel in this class action filed in Utah state court alleged violations of the Utah Securities Act against Paradigm Medical arising out of false and misleading statements made to investors in a \$5.0 million private placement of securities. The court approved a \$625,000 settlement on behalf of the private placement purchasers.

III. SECURITIES CLASS ACTIONS IN WHICH THE ROSEN LAW FIRM P.A. IS CURRENTLY LEAD COUNSEL

Petrie v. Electronic Game Card, Inc., No. SACV 10-0252-DOC (RNBx). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements in violation of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles and the Company's publicly stated internal policies. This action is in discovery.

Munoz v. China Expert Technology, Inc., Case No. 07-CV-10531 (AKH). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of: (a) the Company's issuance of materially false statements of revenues and earnings; and (b) the Company's auditors' issuance of materially false and misleading "clean" audit opinions. This action is in discovery.

Henning v. Orient Paper, Inc., No. CV 10-5887-VBF (AJWx). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act and certain violations of the Securities Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements about the Company's true financial condition and business prospects. The action is in discovery.

Ansell v. National Lampoon, Inc., Case No. CV10-9292-PA (AGRx). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a)

of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of a market manipulation scheme involving National Lampoon's common stock. This action is in discovery.

Ray v. TierOne Corporation, Case No. 10CV199. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements of earnings and the Company's banking operations and business. This action is at the pleading stage.

Wolfe v. AspenBio Pharma, Inc., No. 11 CV 165-REB-KMT. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado. The complaint alleges violations §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out the Company's misstatements and omissions concerning the effectiveness of its main product AppyScore. This action is at the pleading stage.

Hufnagle v. RINO International Corporation, No. CV 10-8695-VBF (VBKx). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements of revenue and earnings. This action is at the pleading stage.

In re China Education Alliance, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. C 10-9239-CAS (JCx). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of

materially false and misleading statements of revenue and earnings. The action is at the pleading stage.

Zhu v. UCBH Holdings, Inc., No. C 09-4208-JSW. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements of revenue and earnings, and the Company's banking operations and business. This action is at the pleading stage.

Bensley v. FalconStor Software, Inc., No. 10-CV-4672 (ERK) (CLP). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements about the Company's true financial and business condition. This action is at the pleading stage.

Kubala v. SkyPeople Fruit Juice, No. 11-CV-2700 (PKC). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act out of the Company's failure to disclose material related party transactions that rendered the Company's financial statements false. This action is at the pleading stage.

Teague v. Alternate Energy Holdings, Inc., No. 10-CV-634-BLW. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of Idaho. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities

Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements and business condition. This action is at the pleading stage.

Katz v. China Century Dragon Media, Inc., Case no. CV 11-02769 JAK (SSx). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§ 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. This action is at the pleading stage.

Rose v. Deer Consumer Products, Inc., Case No. CV11-3701 –DMG (MRWx). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court of the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising the issuance of false statements concerning the Company's true financial condition. This action is at the pleading stage.

Stanger v. China Electric Motor, Inc., Case no. CV 11-2794-R (AGRx). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§ 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. This action is at the pleading stage.

Vandavelde v. China Natural Gas, Inc., Case no. 10-728-SLR. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in the class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. This action is at the pleading stage.

Nguyen v. Radiant Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Case No. CV11-0405-DOC (MLGx).

The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court of the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the issuance of false statements concerning the Company's clinical trial involving its principal product. This action is at the pleading stage.

Cole v. Duoyuan Printing, Inc., Case No. 10-CV-7325(GBD). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving a Co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of §§ 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements about the Company's true financial condition and adequacy of the Company's internal controls. This action is at the pleading stage.

Snellink v. Gulf Resources, Inc., No.CV11-3722-ODW (MRWx). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out the Company's failure to disclose the related party nature of certain transactions, and the Company's issuance of false financial statements. This action is at the pleading stage.

In re China Intelligent Lighting and Electronics, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 2:11-CV-02768 PSG (SSx). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§ 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and

§§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. This action is at the pleading stage.

Waters v. General Electric Co., Case No. 08-CV-8484 (RJS). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action currently on appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false statements about its need to raise additional capital and other aspects of its financial condition, and the Company's near-term financial outlook.